



**Peer Reviewed**

**Title:**

Water Wasted to the Sea?

**Journal Issue:**

[San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science, 15\(2\)](#)

**Author:**

[Cloern, James E.](#), U.S Geological Survey

[Kay, Jane](#), Independent science writer

[Kimmerer, Wim](#), San Francisco State University

[Mount, Jeffrey](#), Public Policy Institute of California

[Moyle, Peter B.](#), Center for Watershed Sciences and Dept. of Wildlife, Fish, and Conservation Biology, University of California, Davis

[Mueller-Solger, Anke](#), California Water Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey

**Publication Date:**

2017

**Permalink:**

<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/2d10g5vp>

**Local Identifier(s):**

jmie\_sfews\_35738

**Abstract:**

<https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2017v15iss2art1>

**Copyright Information:**



Copyright 2017 by the article author(s). This work is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 license, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



## ESSAY

# Water Wasted to the Sea?

James E. Cloern<sup>1</sup>, Jane Kay<sup>2</sup>, Wim Kimmerer<sup>3</sup>, Jeffrey Mount<sup>4</sup>, Peter B. Moyle<sup>5</sup>, and Anke Müller-Solger<sup>6</sup>

Volume 15, Issue 2 | Article 1

<https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2017v15iss2art1>

\* Corresponding author: [jecloern@usgs.gov](mailto:jecloern@usgs.gov)

1 U.S. Geological Survey  
Menlo Park, CA 94025 USA

2 Independent science writer  
San Francisco, CA 94110 USA

3 Romberg Tiburon Center,  
San Francisco State University  
Tiburon, CA 94920 USA

4 Public Policy Institute of California  
San Francisco, CA 94111 USA

5 Center for Watershed Sciences and  
Department of Wildlife Fish and Conservation Biology  
University of California, Davis  
Davis, CA 95616 USA

6 U.S. Geological Survey  
Sacramento, CA 95819 USA

If we farmed the Central Valley or managed water supplies for San Francisco, San Jose, or Los Angeles, we might think that freshwater flowing from the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers through the Delta to San Francisco Bay is “wasted” because it ends up in the Pacific Ocean as an unused resource. However, different perspectives emerge as we follow the downstream movement of river water through the Delta and into San Francisco Bay.

If we were Delta farmers or administered Contra Costa County’s water supply, we would value river

water that flows through the Delta because it repels salt intrusion (Jassby et al. 1995) and protects water quality for drinking, growing crops, and meeting other customer needs.

If we were responsible for protecting at-risk species, we would value river water that flows through the Delta to the bay and ocean because it stimulates migration and spawning of native salmon, Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, and Sacramento Splittail while reducing the potential for colonization and spread of non-native fish (Brown et al. 2016). River flow reduces toxic selenium concentrations in clams eaten by sturgeon, splittail, and diving ducks (Stewart et al. 2013), and it delivers plankton and detritus to fuel production in downstream food webs (Sobczak et al. 2002).

If we managed a Bay Area storm water district or sewage treatment plant, we would value water that flows from the Delta into the bay because it dilutes and flushes such urban pollutants as metals, microplastics, and nutrients (McCulloch et al. 1970).

If we directed restoration projects around the bay, we would value water that flows from the Delta into the bay because it brings sediment required to sustain marshes that otherwise would be lost to subsidence and sea level rise (Stralberg et al. 2011; Schoellhamer et al. 2016). Sediment supplies from rivers also sustain mudflats (Jaffe et al. 2007) used as habitat and probed for food by more than a million willets,

sandpipers, dunlins, and other shorebirds during spring migration (Stenzel et al. 2002).

If we fished the Pacific for a living, we would value river flow into the bay because it carries cues used by adult salmon to find their home streams and spawn (Dittman and Quinn 1996), it brings young salmon to the sea where they grow and mature, and it creates bottom currents that carry young English Sole, California Halibut, and Dungeness crabs into the bay (Raimonet and Cloern 2016) where they feed and grow before returning to the ocean.

If we liked to romp along the shore or served on the California Coastal Commission, we would value rivers that flow to the sea because they supply the sand that keeps California's beaches from eroding (Barnard et al. 2017).

Finally, if we were among those who want to conserve California's landscape and biological diversity, we would value river water that flows to the sea because it creates one of the nation's iconic estuaries, and sustains plant and animal communities found only where seawater and freshwater mix (Cloern et al. 2016).

Is the fresh river water that naturally flows through the Delta to San Francisco Bay and on to the Pacific Ocean "wasted?" No. The seaward flow of freshwater is essential to farmers, fishers, conservationists, seashore lovers, and government agencies that manage drinking water supplies, restore wetlands, protect coastlines, and clean up sewage and storm pollution. Wasted water to some is essential water to others.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Andrea Alpine and Michael Healey for thoughtful comments on early drafts of this essay. Interested readers can explore the latest update of *The State of Bay-Delta Science, 2016* in a collection of three *SFEWS* special issues (*Vol. 14, Issue 2*; *Vol. 14, Issue 3*; and *Vol. 14, Issue 4*). Begin with the introduction to this series by Healey et al. 2016 to learn more about the deep scientific foundation upon which this essay was built.

## REFERENCES

- Barnard PL, Hoover D, Hubbard DM, Snyder A, Ludka BC, Allan J, Kaminsky GM, Ruggiero P, Gallien TW, Gabel L, McCandless D, Weiner HM, Cohn N, Anderson DL, Serafin KA. 2017. Extreme oceanographic forcing and coastal response due to the 2015-2016 El Niño. *Nat Commun* 8:14365.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14365>
- Brown LR, Kimmerer W, Conrad JL, Lesmeister S, Müller-Solger A. 2016. Food webs of the Delta, Suisun Bay, and Suisun Marsh: an update on current understanding and possibilities for management. *San Franc Estuary Watershed Sci* 14(3).  
<https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2016v14iss3art4>
- Cloern JE, Barnard PL, Beller E, Callaway JC, Grenier JL, Grosholz ED, Grossinger R, Hieb K, Hollibaugh JT, Knowles N, Sutula M, Veloz S, Wasson K, Whipple A. Life on the edge—California's estuaries. In: Mooney H, Zavaleta E, editors. 2016. *Ecosystems of California: a source book*. Oakland (CA): University of California Press. p 359-387.
- Dittman A, Quinn T. Homing in Pacific salmon: mechanisms and ecological basis. *J Exp Biol* (1):83-91.
- Healey M, Goodwin P, Dettinger M, Norgaard R. 2016. The state of Bay-Delta science 2016: an introduction. *San Franc Estuary Watershed Sci* 14(2).  
<https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2016v14iss2art5>
- Jaffe BE, Smith RE, Foxgrover AC. 2007. Anthropogenic influence on sedimentation and intertidal mudflat change in San Pablo Bay, California: 1856-1983. *Estuar Coastal Shelf Sc* 73:175-187.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.02.017>
- Jassby AD, Kimmerer WJ, Monismith SG, Armor C, Cloern JE, Powell TM, Schubel JR, Vendlinski TJ. 1995. Isohaline position as a habitat indicator for estuarine populations. *Ecol Appl* 5(1):272-289.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1942069>
- McCulloch DS, Peterson DH, Carlson PR, Conomos TJ. 1970. Some effects of fresh-water inflow on the flushing of South San Francisco Bay—a preliminary report: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 637A. 27 p.

- Raimonet M, Cloern JE. 2016. Estuary-ocean connectivity: fast physics and slow biology. *Global Change Biology* [Internet]. [cited 2017 March 18]. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcb.13546/full>
- Schoellhamer DH, Wright SA, Monismith SG, Bergamaschi BA. 2016. Recent advances in understanding flow dynamics and transport of water-quality constituents in the Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta. *San Franc Estuary Watershed Sci* 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2016v14iss4art1>
- Sobczak W, Cloern J, Jassby A, Müller-Solger A. 2002. Bioavailability of organic matter in a highly disturbed estuary: the role of detrital and algal resources. *Proc National Acad Sci USA* 99(12):8101–8105. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.122614399>
- Stenzel LE, Hickey CM, Kjelson JE, Page GW. 2002. Abundance and distribution of shorebirds in the San Francisco Bay area. *Western Birds* 33:69–98. Available from: [https://www.westernfieldornithologists.org/archive/V33/33\(2\)%20p0069-p0098.pdf](https://www.westernfieldornithologists.org/archive/V33/33(2)%20p0069-p0098.pdf)
- Stewart AR, Luoma SN, Elrick KA, Carter JL, van der Wegen M. 2013. Influence of estuarine processes on spatiotemporal variation in bioavailable selenium. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 492:41–56. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10503>
- Stralberg D, Brennan M, Callaway JC, Wood JK, Schile LM, Jongsomjit D, Kelly M, Parker VT, Crooks S. 2011. Evaluating tidal marsh sustainability in the face of sea-level rise: a hybrid modeling approach applied to San Francisco Bay. *PloS one* 6(11):e27388. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027388>